

# **EFFECTS OF PARITY AND BREEDING TYPE ON PERFORMANCE OF RED CHITTAGONG CATTLE**



**A production report submitted in partial of the requirement for the  
fulfilment of the degree of Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (DVM)**

A Production Report Submitted by

**Mushfika Tabassum**

Roll No. 17/58

Registration No. 01894

Intern ID: 49

Session: 2016-2017

**Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University  
Chittagong-4225, Bangladesh**

**August 2022**

# **EFFECTS OF PARITY AND BREEDING TYPE ON PERFORMANCE OF RED CHITTAGONG CATTLE**



**A production report submitted as per approved styles and contents**

**Approved by**

---

**Supervisor**

Prof. Md. Emran Hossain, PhD

Department of Animal Science and Nutrition

**Faculty of Veterinary Medicine**

**Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University**

**Chittagong-4225, Bangladesh**

**August 2022**

## Acknowledgements

All praises are due to the Almighty Allah, whose blessings have been enabled the author to accomplish this word.

The author expresses her wholehearted senses of gratification, a sincere appreciation to her honourable teacher and supervisor **Dr. Md. Emran Hossain**, Professor, Department of Animal Science and Nutrition, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University for his authoritative guidance, kind cooperation, sincere help, valuable suggestions, inspiration and encouragement throughout the whole period of my study. I am highly obliged to him.

The author is also grateful to honourable Professor **Dr. Alamgir Hossain**, Dean, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine of Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University and Professor **Dr. A. K. M. Saifuddin**, Director of External Affairs, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University for arranging this type of research work as a compulsory part of this internship programme.

The author gratefully admits the help of administrators Malay Kanti Modak, Dairy and Cattle Development farm, Hathazari and other related staff for their cordial co-operation.

The Author.

## Table of Contents

Abstract .....	1
1. Introduction.....	2
2. Materials and methods .....	3
2.1 Study design, animals and housing .....	3
2.2 Preparation of questionnaire.....	3
2.3 Collection of data .....	3
2.4 Statistical analysis .....	3
3. Results.....	4
3.1 Productive performance .....	4
3.2 Reproductive performance .....	5
3.3 Effect of parity .....	5
3.4 Effect of breeding type.....	6
4. Discussion.....	12
4.1 Productive performance .....	12
4.2 Reproductive performance .....	12
4.3 Effect of parity .....	13
4.4 Effect of breeding type.....	14
Conclusion .....	14
5. References.....	14

## List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	-	Elaboration
AI	-	Artificial insemination
BCS	-	Body condition score
BLRI	-	Bangladesh livestock research institute
CI	-	Calving interval
d	-	Day
DMRT	-	Duncans new multiple range test
DO	-	Days open
DP	-	Dry period
et al.	-	And others
GLM	-	Generalized linear model
h	-	Hour
HF	-	Holstein Friesian
kg	-	Kilogram
Kg/cow/d	-	Kilogram per cow per day
l	-	Liter
l/d	-	Liter per day
m	-	Month
Max	-	Maximum
Min	-	Minimum
N	-	Number
NS	-	Natural service
PPP	-	Postpartum period
RCC	-	Red Chittagong Cattle
SE	-	Standard error
SEM	-	Standard error of the means
SPC	-	Service per conception

## List of Table

<b>Table 1.</b> Effects of parity on productive and reproductive performance of Red Chittagong cattle under intensive system of management (N=20) .....	6
<b>Table 2.</b> Effects of breeding type on productive and reproductive performance of Red Chittagong cattle under intensive system of management (N=20) .....	7

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1.</b> Effects of birth weight (top left), live weight (top right), dry period (bottom left) and post-partum (bottom right) on milk yield in Red Chittagong cattle (N=20) .....	7
<b>Figure 2.</b> Bivariate logistic regression showing effects of milk yield on probability of milk fever (top left), mastitis (top right), metritis (bottom left) and infertility (bottom right) in Red Chittagong cattle (N=20) .....	8
<b>Figure 3.</b> Mosaic plot showing effects of breeding type on infertility problem in Red Chittagong cattle (N=20) .....	9
<b>Figure 4.</b> Effects of parity on least square milk yield in the Red Chittagong cattle (N=20) ....	9
<b>Figure 5.</b> Heatmap showing orthogonal contrasts of the principal factors influencing milk yield in Red Chittagong cattle (N=20).....	10
<b>Figure 6.</b> Extrapolation of the principal component 1 (56.9%; plotted on 'x' axis) and component 2 (22.8%; plotted on 'y' axis) influencing milk yield (litter/lactation) in Red Chittagong cattle (N=20) .....	10
<b>Figure 7.</b> A response surface model to predict the influence of days open (day) and calving interval on milk yield in Red Chittagong cattle (N=20) .....	11

## Effects of parity and breeding type on performance of Red Chittagong cattle

### Abstract

---

The study aimed to explore if parity and breeding type had any effect on performance of the Red Chittagong cattle (RCC). Retrospective records of a total of 20 dairy cows from the 1<sup>st</sup> to the 2<sup>nd</sup> parities were collected from the record sheet from January 2020 to June 2022. Results indicated that birth weight of the RCC calf was 7.5% higher ( $P=0.023$ ) in parity 2 compared with parity 1. Accordingly, total milk yield per lactation was 10.2% higher ( $P=0.004$ ) in parity 2 compared with parity 1. Age at first service was 34.7% higher ( $P=0.001$ ) in parity 2 compared with parity 1. Accordingly, age at first conception was 38.3% higher ( $P=0.001$ ) in parity 2 compared with parity 1. The dry period was 22.8% higher ( $P=0.001$ ) in parity 1 compared with parity 2. Age at first service was 18.3% higher ( $P=0.014$ ) in natural service (NS) compared with artificial insemination (AI). Age at first conception was 16.5% higher ( $P=0.023$ ) in NS compared with AI. Post-partum period was 11.9% higher ( $P=0.008$ ) in AI compared with NS. Days open was 8.9% higher ( $P=0.018$ ) in AI compared with NS. Calving interval was 2.9% higher ( $P=0.006$ ) in AI compared with NS. An increased probability of infertility was associated with NS compared with AI. Birth weight of the calf was negatively associated with milk yield of the dam. Unlike birth weight, milk yield was positively related with the live weight of the dam. An increased dry period and post-partum period was positively associated with increased milk yield at the expense of reproductive health. An increased probability of milk fever, mastitis, metritis and infertility was associated with increased milk yield. Days open, calving interval and service per conception were the principal eigenvectors determining performance of the RCC. It was concluded that the RCC performed better in the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity with AI compared with NS.

---

**Keywords:** Lactation, milk yield, parity, Red Chittagong cattle

## 1. Introduction

In Bangladesh 80% of the rural people rear zebu cattle for their subsistence livelihood (Arefin et al., 2022). The Red Chittagong cattle (RCC) are known as one of the popular zebu type native cattle breeds in Bangladesh (Das et al., 2021). The RCC is a legacy breed which is distributed mostly in the south eastern parts (Raozan, Chandanaish, Satkania, Lohagara) of Bangladesh. The breed has some typical characteristics like smaller size with red coat colour and distinct reddish muzzle, horn, hoof, ears, eyeball, eyebrow, vulva and tail switch (Bhuiyan, 2013). The breed is famous for dual-purpose both in dairy and beef production which plays an important role for poverty alleviation of the smallholder dairy farmers (BLRI, 2004). The breed is popular among the rural communities because of short post-partum heat period, high conception rate with greater milk fat content (Bhuiyan, 2013), great calving rate (Khan et al., 2012) and moderate average daily milk production capacity of  $2.0\pm 0.65$  kg in farm condition and  $1.80\pm 0.87$  kg under rural farming systems (Koirala et al., 1970). They achieve early sexual maturity and calve regularly than the other non-descriptive indigenous breeds under farm condition. Further, they are more resistant to the parasites and common diseases prevalent in their own habitats than the other cattle (Chowdhury et al., 2017) exhibiting good survivability almost in all ages (Quaderi et al., 2014). A lifetime economic assessment of different dairy cattle breeds conducted in the rural areas of Chittagong reported greater cost-effectiveness of rearing RCC compared with other breeds of native cattle (Khan et al., 2012). Considering above features, the RCC may be regarded as a potential cattle genotype to overcome the future challenges of intensive animal production in Bangladesh. Although few studies were conducted to evaluate the performance of RCC under extensive system of management in Bangladesh, consistent data pertinent to parity and breeding type-based performance indices under intensive system are scant. The present study, therefore, aimed to explore the effects of parity and breeding type on production potentials and reproductive efficiency of the RCC and the factors associated with individual as well as herd level productivity under existing intensive farming systems in Chittagong, Bangladesh.



## **2. Materials and methods**

### ***2.1 Study design, animals and housing***

A cross-sectional survey was conducted during January 2020 to April 2022 at the Government dairy and cattle development farm, Hathazari, Chattogram which is located at 22.5083°N 91.8083°E. total 20 milch cows of 2<sup>nd</sup> parity were selected from the farm for the study purpose. The farm occupies 20 acres of land, total 238 animals, milch cow 34, dry cow 80, heifer 43, male calf 29, female calf 21 and bull 31. The milch cow sheds are arranged in the face in and face out system with east-west direction. The sheds have concrete roof type and unpaved floor with plenty of natural light and ventilation.

### ***2.2 Preparation of questionnaire***

A structured questionnaire was prepared to get the required information as per the objectives of the study. The questionnaire contained both open and close ended questions. Data related to the farm type, breed, genotype, housing system, parity, feeding systems, milking system, service per conception, age, weight, lactation period, average daily milk yield, age at puberty, age at first calving, postpartum period and dry period were prepared in the questionnaire. The questionnaire was pretested for pilot testing and then finalized.

### ***2.3 Collection of data***

All data were collected directly from the farm record and also by visiting the selected farm in the study area and by interviewing the officer and staff. The staff and officers were interviewed face to face. Verbal consent of the staff was taken before interview. A total of 238 cows were selected initially during the study period from which 20 milch cows were selected purposively.

### ***2.4 Statistical analysis***

Outliers and multi-collinearity in the data set were tested by inter quartile range test and variance inflation factors. Normality of the response variable was checked by Shapiro Wilk test. The data were analyzed by generalized linear model (GLM). Heatmap of multiple orthogonal contrasts were produced to check the dimensionality and strengths of the co-

variates. Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measures of sampling adequacy and Bartlett's test of sphericity were applied to test the suitability of the data set for the principal component analysis. All regression models, hierarchical cluster (Ward) and response surface models were fitted using SAS 16.2 (SAS Institute Inc.). When statistical effects were deemed significant ( $P < 0.05$ ), the Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was used to compare the means. All statistical tests were performed by using Stata 14.1 SE (Stata Corp LP, College Station, Texas, USA). The following model was used to estimate the effects of the predictors on dependent variables:

$$Y_{ijkln} = \mu_0 + \alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ik} + \gamma_{il} + \dots + \omega_{in} + \epsilon_{ijkln}$$

Where,

$Y_{ijkln}$  = The observed effect of the trait 'i' at the 'j<sup>th</sup>' level of the predictor 'α', the 'k<sup>th</sup>' level of the predictor 'β', 'l<sup>th</sup>' level of the predictor 'γ'.....and the 'n<sup>th</sup>' level of the predictor 'ω';

$\mu_0$  = The intercept of the regression model;

$\alpha_{ij}$  = The slope of the regression model for the trait 'i' at 'j<sup>th</sup>' level of the predictor 'α' observed on  $Y_{ijkln}$ ;

$\beta_{ik}$  = The slope of the regression model for the trait 'i' at 'k<sup>th</sup>' level of the predictor 'β' observed on  $Y_{ijkln}$ ;

$\gamma_{il}$  = The slope of the regression model for the trait 'i' at 'l<sup>th</sup>' level of the predictor 'γ' observed on  $Y_{ijkln}$ ;

$\omega_{in}$  = The slope of the regression model for the trait 'i' at 'n<sup>th</sup>' level of the predictor 'ω' observed on  $Y_{ijkln}$ ;

$\epsilon_{ijkln}$  = The random sampling error of the trait 'i' at the 'j<sup>th</sup>' level of the predictor 'α', the 'k<sup>th</sup>' level of the predictor 'β', 'l<sup>th</sup>' of the predictor 'γ'.....the 'n<sup>th</sup>' level of the predictor 'ω' which is distributed as  $\epsilon_i \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma^2)$ .

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Productive performance

Mean birth weight of the RCC calf was 18.8 kg. Mean live weight 286.3 kg, body condition score 3.1, lactation length 260.8 day and milk yield per lactation was 267.5 kg irrespective of breeding type and parity under intensive system of management (Table 1). Distribution of milk yield was symmetric. Birth weight of the calf was negatively associated with milk yield of the dam (Figure 1). Unlike birth weight, milk yield was positively related with the live weight of

the dam (Figure 1). Milk yield gradually increased and reached the peak at 75-100 day which declined latter on (Figure 4). Herd level maximum milk yield (>350 litter/lactation) was noticed at 65-day dry period and 375 day calving interval (Figure 7). Days open, calving interval and service per conception were the principal eigenvectors determining variability of milk yield in RCC (Figure 7-8).

### ***3.1 Reproductive performance***

Mean age at puberty of the RCC was 18.1 month. Mean age at first service 23.5 month, age at first conception 24.7 month, service per conception 1.1, gestation period 282.1 day, dry period 61.4 day, post-partum period 59.2 day, days open 81.6 day and calving interval was 344.1 day irrespective of breeding type and parity under intensive system of management (Table 1). An increased dry period and post-partum period was positively associated with increased milk yield. However, increased milk yield had negative effects on reproductive health. An increased probability of milk fever, mastitis, metritis and infertility was associated with increased milk yield (Figure 2). There was a strong relationship between age at first service, age at first conception, post-partum period, days open and calving interval (Figure 6). Days open, calving interval and service per conception were the principal eigenvectors determining performance of the RCC (Figure 6).

### ***3.3 Effect of parity***

Birth weight of the RCC calf was 7.5% higher ( $P=0.023$ ) in parity 2 compared with parity 1. Accordingly, total milk yield per lactation was 10.2% higher ( $P=0.004$ ) in parity 2 compared with parity 1 (Table 1). However, parity had no influence ( $P>0.05$ ) on live weight, body condition score and lactation length of the RCC. Age at first service of the RCC cow was 34.7% higher ( $P=0.001$ ) in parity 2 compared with parity 1. Accordingly, age at first conception was 38.3% higher ( $P=0.001$ ) in parity 2 compared with parity 1. In contrast, dry period was 22.8% higher ( $P=0.001$ ) in parity 1 compared with parity 2. However, parity had no influence ( $P>0.05$ ) on age at puberty, service per conception, gestation period, post- partum period, days open and calving interval of the RCC.

**Table 1.** Effects of parity on productive and reproductive performance of Red Chittagong cattle under intensive system of management (N=20)

Parameter	Parity type						Overall mean	SEM	P-value
	Parity 1			Parity 2					
	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max			
Birth weight of calf (kg)	17.3	15.0	20.0	18.7	16.0	22.0	18.0	0.3	0.023
Live weight (kg)	286.6	275.0	298.0	286.0	281.0	298.0	286.3	0.8	0.724
BCS (1-5 scale)	3.2	3.0	3.5	3.1	3.0	3.5	3.1	0.0	0.121
Lactation length (d)	266.6	209.0	287.0	255.0	180.0	275.0	260.8	3.6	0.113
Milk yield/lactation (l)	253.1	208.5	307.5	281.8	216.0	367.5	267.5	5.1	0.004
Age at puberty (m)	18.1	15.0	20.0	18.1	15.0	20.0	18.1	0.2	1.000
Age at first service (m)	18.6	15.0	28.0	28.5	9.0	31.0	23.5	1.0	<0.01
Age at first conception (m)	18.9	15.0	30.5	30.5	27.5	33.5	24.7	1.0	<0.01
Service per conception (n)	1.1	1.0	2.0	1.1	1.0	2.0	1.1	0.0	0.561
Gestation period (d)	282.1	275.0	290.0	282.1	279.0	290.0	282.1	0.5	0.961
Dry period (d)	69.3	55.0	90.0	53.5	40.0	70.0	61.4	2.0	<0.01
Post-partum period (d)	59.5	45.0	80.0	59.0	45.0	80.0	59.2	1.4	0.852
Days open (d)	82.2	66.0	102.0	81.1	66.0	107.0	81.6	1.6	0.752
Calving interval (d)	344.0	324.0	362.0	344.3	328.0	382.0	344.1	1.9	0.930

<sup>1</sup>AI = Artificial insemination; Min = Minimum; Max = Maximum; SEM = Standard error of the means


### 3.4 Effect of breeding type

Breeding type of the RCC had no influence ( $P>0.05$ ) on birth weight of calf, live weight, body condition score, lactation length and milk yield per lactation (Table 2). Age at first service of the RCC cow was 18.3% higher ( $P=0.014$ ) in natural service (NS) compared with artificial insemination (AI). Age at first conception was 16.5% higher ( $P=0.023$ ) in NS compared with AI. Post- partum period was 11.9% higher ( $P=0.008$ ) in AI compared with NS. Days open was 8.9% higher ( $P=0.018$ ) in AI compared with NS. Calving interval was 2.9% higher ( $P=0.006$ ) in AI compared with NS. However, breeding type had no influence ( $P>0.05$ ) on service per conception, gestation period and dry period of the RCC. Overall, an increased probability of infertility was associated with NS compared with AI (Figure 4).


**Table 2.** Effects of breeding type on productive and reproductive performance of Red Chittagong cattle under intensive system of management (N=20)

Parameter	Breeding type <sup>1</sup>						Overall mean	SEM	P-value
	AI			Natural					
	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max			
Birth weight of calf (kg)	18.0	15.0	22.0	18.0	15.0	22.0	18.0	0.3	0.955
Live weight (kg)	286.8	275.0	298.0	285.6	281.0	292.0	286.3	0.8	0.458
BCS (1-5 scale)	3.1	3.0	3.5	3.1	3.0	3.5	3.1	0.0	0.214
Lactation length (d)	261.8	209.0	287.0	259.6	180.0	287.0	260.8	3.6	0.772
Milk yield/lactation (l)	265.8	208.5	367.5	269.4	216.0	323.5	267.5	5.1	0.730
Age at puberty (m)	18.0	15.0	20.0	18.1	16.0	20.0	18.1	0.2	0.981
Age at first service (m)	21.4	9.0	30.5	26.2	17.0	31.0	23.5	1.0	0.014
Age at first conception (m)	22.7	15.0	32.0	27.2	17.0	33.5	24.7	1.0	0.023
Service per conception (n)	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.1	1.0	2.0	1.1	0.0	0.446
Gestation period (d)	282.9	279.0	290.0	281.1	275.0	290.0	282.1	0.5	0.064
Dry period (d)	63.4	40.0	82.0	58.9	40.0	90.0	61.4	2.0	0.264
Post-partum period (d)	62.6	45.0	80.0	55.1	45.0	65.0	59.2	1.4	0.008
Days open (d)	85.0	66.0	102.0	77.4	66.0	107.0	81.6	1.6	0.018
Calving interval (d)	348.8	328.0	382.0	338.4	324.0	369.0	344.1	1.9	0.006


<sup>1</sup>AI = Artificial insemination; Min = Minimum; Max = Maximum; SEM = Standard error of the means




**Figure 1.** Effects of birth weight (top left), live weight (top right), dry period (bottom left) and post-partum (bottom right) on milk yield in Red Chittagong cattle (N=20)




**Figure 2.** Bivariate logistic regression showing effects of milk yield on probability of milk fever (top left), mastitis (top right), metritis (bottom left) and infertility (bottom right) in Red Chittagong cattle (N=20)




**Figure 3.** Mosaic plot showing effects of breeding type on infertility problem in Red Chittagong cattle (N=20)



**Figure 4.** Effects of parity on least square milk yield in the Red Chittagong cattle (N=20)




**Figure 5.** Heatmap showing orthogonal contrasts of the principal factors influencing milk yield in Red Chittagong cattle (N=20)




**Figure 6.** Extrapolation of the principal component 1 (56.9%; plotted on 'x' axis) and component 2 (22.8%; plotted on 'y' axis) influencing milk yield (litter/lactation) in Red Chittagong cattle (N=20)





**Figure 7.** A response surface model to predict the influence of days open (day) and calving interval on milk yield in Red Chittagong cattle (N=20)



**Figure 8.** Hierarchical cluster of the three principal clades of the performance parameter of Red Chittagong cattle considering parity as the base level (N=20)

## **4. Discussion**

### ***4.1 Productive performance***

We observed increased birth weight of the RCC calf in parity 2 compared with parity 1. Similar result was reported in a previous study where cows at parity 4 delivered calves that recorded higher birth weight (39.0 kg) compared with parity 2 (29.2 kg), although, these observed differences were not statistically significant ( $P>0.05$ ). Calf birth weight usually tends to elevate with increased parity because of less competitive demand for nutrients, reduced mobilization rate and increased live weight of the dam with increased parity number (Hoka et al., 2019). Similar to birth weight, the parity affected live weight of the dam in our study. Consistent result was reported in a previous study where increased parity resulted increased live weight because of high nutrient intake (Musa et al., 2012). In a previous study, the BCS was highest (5.0) at early lactation and lowest between d 40 and 70 after calving (4.1) which increased gradually at the end of the gestation period (Lassen et al., 2003). In tropical cattle, lactation length gradually increases from 1<sup>st</sup> to the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity and milk yield also increases simultaneously (Musa et al., 2012). There was no difference between lactation length of the 1<sup>st</sup> parity from the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity in our study although milk yield was significantly higher in 2<sup>nd</sup> parity compared with 1<sup>st</sup> parity. In a previous study (Musa et al., 2012), average daily milk yield increased gradually from the 1<sup>st</sup> parity at 4.4 kg/day up to 5.8 kg/day in the 3<sup>rd</sup> parity which reached the peak, persisted for a while and finally decreased for tropical cattle which supports our study.

### ***4.2 Reproductive performance***

In a previous study, Karim et al. (2019) reported that the age at puberty of the RCC was  $37.0\pm 2.2$  month which was higher than the present study. It was also reported that the temperate breeds came into maturity at an earlier age than the breeds of the tropical environment (Nath et al., 2016). Novakovic et al. (2011) reported that in case of Holstein-Friesian (HF) cow, the average age at first conception was  $491.2\pm 9.4$  day or  $16.2\pm 0.3$  month which was lower than the present study but there was no comparison between the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> parities. Deselegn et al. (2016) reported that the average age at 1<sup>st</sup> service was  $18.7\pm 3.7$  and  $18.7\pm 3.5$  month for the cross-breed cattle which support the findings of the present study. In another study, cows in parity 2 had significantly higher ( $P<0.01$ ) conception rate than the cows in parity 1 (72.8% vs. 44.8%) (Yusuf et al., 2017). Das et al., (2022) reported that the gestation length of the RCC

was  $283.0 \pm 3.0$  day which was similar to our study but there was no more information regarding the comparison between the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity although in our study there was no significant difference between the two subsequent parities.

Habib et al. (2010) reported that the dry period in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> parity was significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ) which is consistent with the present study where 1<sup>st</sup> parity dry period was higher than the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity. Habib et al. (2010) further reported that post-partum oestrous was higher in the 1<sup>st</sup> parity compared with the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity which supports our study. Average length of days open did not differ between parity 1 and parity 2 in a previous study (Yusuf et al., 2017) which is closely consistent with our study. The calving interval of the cows in parity 2 and parity 3 were  $508.2 \pm 121.5$  day and  $495.5 \pm 144.1$  day, respectively in a previous study which indicated no significant difference ( $P = 0.39$ ) between the two groups (Yusuf et al., 2017). Closely similar result was reported in the present study.

#### ***4.3 Effect of parity***

Milk yield was related to the order of parity of the cows in a series of previous studies. The lowest milk yield was found in the first parity which increased linearly with advancement of lactation until the 4<sup>th</sup> parity in case of HF cow (Kul, 2021) which support similar trends that we observed in the present study where milk yield was increasing in the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity compared with the 1<sup>st</sup> parity. Consistent results were reported elsewhere by Cinar et al. (2015) and Kul et al., (2019) who observed that milk yield was expressively affected by the advancement of parity. The present study further confirmed the findings of Cobanoglu et al. (2019) who identified that milk yield was considerably high ( $P < 0.01$ ) in the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity compared with the 1<sup>st</sup> parity. In the same way, Mostert et al., (2001) described that the cows calving in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> lactations had less milk production than those at further mature ages. The variations observed among these studies could be due to different management systems, feeding regime and other environmental factors (Habib et al., 2010) although milk yield was consistently higher in 75-150 day both in 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> parities for all the RCC population. Similarly, Habib et al. (2010) noticed significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) difference in the daily milk yield among different parities.

#### ***4.4 Effect of breeding type***

Jason and Ahola (2007) reported that the artificial insemination (AI) calf weight was higher than the natural service (NS) calf which supports the present study. Valergakis et al. (2007) reported that the daughters of proven AI sires were producing 896 kg more milk per cow per year than the daughter of NS bull. In the same way, the HF herds bred primarily by AI had the greatest percentage of cows in milk than the herds bred primarily by the NS bull. More cows conceived earlier in the breeding season by the AI than the natural services in case of HF cow (Jason, 2007) which supports the present study. The overall pregnancy loss considering the gestation period from day 28 to 56 in the NS group and 32 day for AI was lower ( $P=0.02$ ) for NS than the AI-bred cows (10.4% vs 15.2%;  $P<0.05$ ), respectively. Smith et al. (2004) observed that HF herds bred primarily by AI had significantly fewer days dry period compared with the other groups which does not support the present research. It may be due to breed-to-breed variation. The post-partum period of HF was greater for NS than the AI (NS=84.8% and AI=76.4%,  $P=0.009$ ). The median time to pregnancy by 223 day postpartum was shorter for NS bred cows (111 day [95% CI=104 to 125]) than the AI bred cows (116 day [95% CI=115 to 117]) (Risco et al., 2009) which does not support present study perhaps because of variations due to breed.

#### **Conclusion**

Milk yield of the Red Chittagong cattle is positively associated live weight, length of dry period and post-partum period. Increased milk yield increases probability of milk fever, mastitis, metritis and infertility. Days open, calving interval and service per conception are the principal determinants of the performance of the RCC. Overall, RCC performs better in the 2<sup>nd</sup> parity compared with the 1<sup>st</sup> parity.

#### **5. References**

- Arefin T, Bhuiyan A, Khandoker MY, Habib M and Das S (2022). Characteristics of fresh and frozen semen of Red Chittagong Cattle. *Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science*, 51(1): 25–30.  
<https://doi.org/10.3329/bjas.v51i1.58884>.
- Bhuiyan AKFH (2013). First Report on the State of the World's Animal Genetic Resources (AnGR). Animal Genetic Resources of Bangladesh, the Government of the Peoples' Republic of

- Bangladesh, Savar, Dhaka (1): 2-60. [Farm Animal Genetic Resources...nservation and Management.pdf \(sac.org.bd\)](#).
- Chowdhury R, Sen A, Kar J and Nath SK (2017). Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitism of cattle at Chandaniash Upazilla, Chittagong, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Science*, 4(6): 144-149. <https://ijarbs.com/pdfcopy/june2017/ijarbs21.pdf>.
- Cinar M, Serbester UCA and GM (2015). Effect of somatic cell count on milk yield and composition of first and second lactation dairy cows. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 14(3646): 105–108. <https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2015.3646>.
- Cobanoglu Ö, Kul E, Gürcan E, Cankaya SAS and and MU (2019). Influence of some environmental factors on milk yield and milk components traits in Jersey cows. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 28(9): 6516–6520. <https://avesis.uludag.edu.tr/yayin/a9f1f086-32a7-43fa-82fe-fd69d77a489d/influence-of-some-environmental-factors-on-milk-yield-and-milk-components-traits-in-jersey-cows>.
- Das NG, Islam MR, Sarker NR, Jalil MA and Clark CEF (2021). Red Chittagong Cattle: An Indigenous Breed to Help Tackle the Challenges of Modern Animal Production Systems. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*.5, 688641 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.688641>.
- Desselegn G and Gebreyohanes BBT (2016). Study of productive and reproductive of cross breed dairy cattle under small holders management system in Bishoftu and Akaki Towns. *International Journal of Advance Research in Biological Sciences* 3(2): 118-123. <https://ijarbs.com/pdfcopy/feb2016/ijarbs18.pdf>.
- Habib M, Afroz M and Bhuiyan A (2010). Lactation performance of Red Chittagong Cattle and effects of environmental factors. *Bangladesh Veterinarian*, 27(1): 18–25. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bvet.v27i1.5911>.
- Habib M, Afroz M and Bhuiyan A (2010). Lactation performance of Red Chittagong Cattle and effects of environmental factors. *Bangladesh Veterinarian*, 27(1): 18–25. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bvet.v27i1.5911>.
- Hoka AI (2019). Effect of Cow Parity and Calf Characteristics on Milk Production and Reproduction of Friesian Dairy Cows. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*, 9(10): 41–46. <https://doi.org/10.7176/jnsr/9-10-06>.
- Jason K. Ahola (2007). Weighing AI vs. the bull. Informa, Health Ranch, complete source for cattle health solution. <https://www.beefmagazine.com/americancowman/beef-and-business/ai-vs-bull>.
- Karim M, Kashem A, Bhuiyan F and Bhuiyan M (2019). Investigation of fitness traits of Red Chittagong Cattle of Bangladesh. *International Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*, 6(4): 35–45. <http://ijnss.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/IJNSS-V6I4-5-pp-35-45.pdf>.

- Khan MKI, Miah G, Huque KS, Khatun MJ and Das A (2012). Economic and genetic evaluations of different dairy cattle breeds under rural conditions in Bangladesh. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 24(20): 1-9. <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd24/1/khan24020.htm>.
- Koirala B, Alam M, Iqbal A and Bhuiyan A (1970). Study on morphometric, productive and reproductive traits of native cattle at Sylhet district. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 9(1): 85–90. <https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/208625>.
- Kul E, Sahin A, Atasever S and Uğurlutepe E (2019). The effects of somatic cell count on milk yield and milk composition in Holstein cows. *Veterinary Arhiv*, 89(2): 143–154. <https://hrcak.srce.hr/file/321080>.
- Kul E (2021). Relationships between Body Weight and Milk Yield, and Factors Affecting Related Traits in Holstein cows. *Journal of Agricultural Faculty of Gaziosmanpasa University*, 38(3): 173–179. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/2201556>
- Lassen J, Hansen M, Sørensen MK, Aamand GP, Christensen LG and Madsen P (2003). Genetic analysis of body condition score in first-parity danish holstein cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 86(12): 4123–4128. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(03\)74026-0](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(03)74026-0).
- MA Habib, Bhuiyan A, Bhuiyan MSA, Khan AA, Bhuiyan AKFH and Amin M (2010). Reproductive performance of Red Chittagong Cattle in a nucleus herd. *Bangladesh Journal of Animal Science*, 39(1–2): 9–19. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjas.v39i1-2.9673>.
- Mostert BE, Theron HE and Kanfer FHJ (2001). The effect of calving season and age at calving on production traits of South African dairy cattle. *South African Journal of Animal Science*, 31(3): 205-214. <https://journals.co.za/doi/pdf/10.10520/EJC94273>.
- Musa AM, Idam NZ and Elamin KM (2012). Effect of Parity on Live Body Weight , Daily Milk Yield and Lactation Length of Sudanese Kenana Cattle 2(2): 215–217. <http://khartoumspace.uofk.edu/handle/123456789/18080>.
- Nath AK, Dash R, Alam DK, Bhowmik NE, Azam SB, Mokbul SR, Barua TM and Rakib SK (2016). Productive and Reproductive Performance of Red Chittagong Cattle (RCC) in Rural Rearing System of Bangladesh. *Asian Journal of Science and Technology*, 7(7): 3152–3156 <https://www.journalajst.com/productive-and-reproductive-performance-red-chittagong-cattle-rcc-rural-rearing-system-bangladesh>
- Novakovic Z, Sretenovic L, Petrovic AS, Pantelic V and Ostojic-Andric D (2011). Age at first conception of high yielding cows. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry*, 27(3): 1043–1050. <https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=RS2011002331>.
- Quaderi M, AL Husain M, Alam M, Khatun M and Hossain M (2014). Prevalence of sub-clinical mastitis in dairy farms. *Bangladesh Veterinarian*, 30(2): 70–77. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bvet.v30i2.18257>.
- Risco CA, Lima F, Thatcher MJ, Santos J, De Vries A and Thatcher WW (2009). Reproductive Efficiency and Economics of Timed AI vs. Natural Service. *Western Dairy Management*

Conference, pp. 103-115.  
<http://wdmc.org/2009/Reproductive%20Efficiency%20&%20Economics%20of%20Timed%20AI%20vs%20Natural%20Service.pdf>.

Smith JW, Ely LO, Gilson WD and Graves WM (2004). Effects of Artificial Insemination vs Natural Service Breeding on Production and Reproduction Parameters in Dairy Herds. *Professional Animal Scientist*, 20(2): 185–190. [https://doi.org/10.15232/S1080-7446\(15\)31294-8](https://doi.org/10.15232/S1080-7446(15)31294-8).

Valergakis GE, Arsenos G and Banos G (2007). Comparison of artificial insemination and natural service cost effectiveness in dairy cattle. *Animal*, 1(2): 293–300. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731107340044>.

Yusuf M, Toleng AL, Rahardja DP, Ako A, Sahiruddin S and Eriansyah A (2017). Reproductive Performance of Dairy Cows at Different Parities (A Case Study in Enrekang Regency, Indonesia). *Journal of Advanced Agricultural Technologies*, 4(4): 331–334. <http://www.joaat.com/uploadfile/2017/1226/20171226021614772.pdf>.

## **Biography**

I am Mushfika Tabassum, daughter of Md. Osman Gani and Mahbuba Osman. I passed my Secondary School Certificate (SSC) examination from Dr. Khastagir Govt Girls High School, Chattogram in 2013 and Higher Secondary Certificate (HSC) examination from Govt. Hazi Mohammod Mohsin College, Chattogram in 2015. I enrolled for Doctor of Veterinary Medicine (DVM) degree in Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (CVASU), Bangladesh. In the future I would like to work as a veterinary practitioner and do research on clinical animal diseases in Bangladesh.